

UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/987,005	12/08/97	HOWELL		М	MICL:038
JEFFREY A PYLE ARNOLD WHITE& DUCKEE		ρM12/0916			EXAMINER
		691270310	·	RUSHIN	NG JR,B
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P 0 BOX 44 HOUSTON TX				3729	9
			:	DATE MAILED:	09/16/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 08/987,005 Applicant(s)

Howell

Examiner

Bobby Rushing, Jr.

Group Art Unit

3729

Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jun 18, 1999	
This action is FINAL.	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quay/1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	as to the merits is closed
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire3month(s), or longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for resp application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a).	onse will cause the
Disposition of Claim	
X Claim(s) <u>5-13 and 15-21</u>	_ is/are pending in the applicat
Of the above, claim(s) is/ar	re withdrawn from consideration
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
Claim(s) <u>5-13, 15, 16, and 18-20</u>	is/are rejected.
	is/are objected to.
☐ Claims are subject to res	striction or election requirement.
Application Papers	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ approved ☐disc	approved.
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).	
☐ All ☐Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been	ı
☐ received.	
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)	•
received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received: Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).	
Attachment(s)	
Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)	
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES	

Serial Number: 08/987,005 Page 2

Art Unit: 3729

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 5, 7-11, 13, 15, 16 and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 5,274,972 to Hansen.

The patent to Hansen sets forth a cable management system including a tray having notches to receive cables and a cover to conceal the cables placed in the notches.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 6 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the aforementioned patent to Hansen in view of U.S. Patent 5,362,923 to Newhouse et al.

Serial Number: 08/987,005 Page 3

Art Unit: 3729

The aforementioned Hansen cable manager lacks a cover having a port. The patent to Newhouse et al. sets forth a cable managing method utilizing a tray (102) and cover (140) wherein the cover has a port wherein the cable can be connected to the port (Figs. 10 and 11).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Hansen cable manager by providing a port to the cover as to connect a cable as taught by Newhouse et al.

5. Claims 17 and 21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Serial Number: 08/987,005

Art Unit: 3729

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bobby Rushing, Jr. whose telephone number is (703) 308-8505. Any question of general nature pertaining to this application should be directed to the group receptionist at (703) 308-1148.

brjr

September 13, 1999

LEEYOUNG

Page 4

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700